

REVIEW AND PLANNING OF THE PROGRAM OF WORK OF THE SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

12.1 The Scientific Committee agreed that all three Working Groups should meet during the intersessional period.

12.2 An offer was received from Chile to host both WG-Krill and WG-CEMP in 1992. This offer was warmly received by the Scientific Committee.

- WG-Krill will meet from 3 to 10 August 1992 in Punta Arenas, Chile;
- WG-CEMP will meet from 12 to 21 August 1992 in Viña del Mar, Chile;
- WG-FSA will meet from 13 to 22 October 1992 in Hobart, Australia.

12.3 Additionally, a Workshop on Survey Design (June, Hamburg) (paragraphs 4.108 to 4.110) and a one-day meeting to consider the initial parameters for a workshop on the prey requirements of krill predators (paragraph 6.78) were recommended.

12.4 Following fruitful *ad hoc* discussions between the Conveners of WG-Krill, WG-CEMP and WG-FSA at the current Scientific Committee meeting, it was suggested that a meeting to coordinate the efforts of the Working Groups be held for one day immediately preceding the Scientific Committee in 1992 (i.e., on 25 October 1992). The meeting would be open to attendance by participants from all Working Groups and other interested parties. The meeting's agenda would be established by the Working Group Conveners, taking into account the discussions at the most recent meetings of the Working Groups.

BUDGET FOR 1992 AND FORECAST BUDGET FOR 1993

13.1 The Draft Budget is given in Annex 9. It includes provision for three Working Group meetings and two special meetings, one on the planning and analysis of demersal trawl surveys and the other, a meeting to consider preliminary requirements for a review of krill requirements by krill predators.

13.2 In addition, the re-analysis of FIBEX data (paragraph 3.101) and the pilot study on satellite imagery (paragraphs 6.19 to 6.21) are included in the Draft Budget.

13.3 The Chairman reported that he had presented the draft Scientific Committee Budget to the Standing Committee on Administration and Finance and had been asked to prepare alternative budgets on the assumption of 10%, 20% and 30% reductions alternatively.

13.4 The Scientific Committee strongly expressed the view that the Draft Budget was relatively conservative considering the amount of work planned. It pointed out that this work was directed at providing responses to questions asked of it by the Commission.

13.5 Should a reduction be unavoidable then certain budget items were identified as being of lower priority and a budget reduced by nearly 10% could be presented to the Standing Committee on Administration and Finance. The presentation should be made with the advice that any reduction at all is opposed and reduction beyond 10% is unacceptable.

13.6 It was noted that a part of the effective increase in the Scientific Committee Budget from the previous year is due to the depletion of the Norwegian Contribution Special Fund which will be exhausted after 1993. It was also noted that the Scientific Committee would be likely to have the same opinion of the budget required for it to operate effectively at the 1992 Meeting.

ELECTION OF VICE-CHAIRMEN OF THE SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

14.1 The Chairman thanked Dr G. Duhamel (France) and Dr T. Lubimova (USSR) for their work as Vice-Chairmen of the Scientific Committee. Dr Lubimova had retired in 1991 and was not present at the Scientific Committee meeting, Dr Shust brought her best wishes to the Committee. The Chairman thanked her for her contributions to the work of the Committee over many years and on behalf of the Committee wished her well for the future.

14.2 Mr E. Balguerías (Spain) and Dr R. Holt (USA) were unanimously elected as Vice-Chairmen of the Scientific Committee for the period from the end of the Tenth Meeting until the end of the Scientific Committee meeting in 1993, in accordance with Rules 3 and 8 of the Rules of Procedure.

NEXT MEETING

15.1 The Scientific Committee agreed that its next meeting should be held in Hobart, Australia from 26 to 30 October 1992.

OTHER BUSINESS

16.1 There was no other business.

ADOPTION OF THE REPORT

17.1 The Report of the Tenth Meeting of the Scientific Committee was reviewed and adopted.

CLOSE OF THE MEETING

18.1 Mr Østvedt thanked participants, Working Group Conveners, rapporteurs and the Secretariat for their support and cooperation.

18.2 Mr Østvedt then closed the meeting.