

Table 2: Preliminary total catch (tonnes) of target species reported in 2009/10 (source: catch and effort reports unless indicated otherwise). Note: the season started on 1 December 2009 and closes on 30 November 2010; catches are those reported to the Secretariat to 24 September 2010, unless indicated otherwise.

Species	Country	Subarea/division																	Total	
		48.1	48.2	48.3	48.4	48.6	58.4.1	58.4.2	58.4.3a	58.4.3b	58.4.4a	58.4.4b	58.5.1	58.5.2	58.6	58.7	88.1	88.2		
Icefish	Australia																		365	365
<i>Champsocephalus gunnari</i>	Chile			1																1
	EU – Poland		<1*																	<1
	Norway		1*																	1
	UK			11																11
Total (icefish)		0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	365	0	0	0	0	378
Toothfish	Australia																		1 873	1 873
<i>Dissostichus eleginoides</i>	Chile			351																351
	EU – France**												2 977		512					3 489
	EU – Spain			648																648
	Japan						2			2	8	51								63
	Korea, Republic of					43														43
	New Zealand			336	27													<1		363
	Russian Federation																			
	South Africa			179											6	15				199
	UK			863	31															894
	Uruguay			145																145
<i>Dissostichus mawsoni</i>	China, People's Republic of	<1*																		0
	Argentina																	30	8	38
	EU – Spain																	309	42	352
	Japan					97	86			12										196
	Korea, Republic of					155	108	93										1 020	5	1 381
	New Zealand				31													1 310		1 341
	Russian Federation		<1*																	0
	UK				26													200	259	484
Total (toothfish)		<1	<1	2 522	114	295	196	93	0	14	8	51	2 977	1 873	518	15 287	0	314	11 860	
Krill	China, People's Republic of	77	1 879																	1 956
<i>Euphausia superba***</i>	EU – Poland	6 611	395																	7 007
	Japan	28 924	995																	29 919
	Korea, Republic of	42 140	1 665																	43 805
	Norway	76 861	34 734	8 834																120 429
	Russian Federation		8 065																	8 065
Total (krill)		154 613	47 733	8 834	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	211 180

(continued)

Table 2 (continued)

Species	Country	Subarea/division																Total	
		48.1	48.2	48.3	48.4	48.6	58.4.1	58.4.2	58.4.3a	58.4.3b	58.4.4a	58.4.4b	58.5.1	58.5.2	58.6	58.7	88.1		88.2
Crab	Chile			<1*															<1
<i>Paralomis</i> spp.	EU – Spain			<1*															<1
	New Zealand			<1*															<1
	Russian Federation		<1	22															22
	UK			<1*															<1
	Uruguay			<1*															<1
Total (crab)		0	<1	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22

* Taken as by-catch

** Catch reported in fine-scale data

*** Catch reported to 24 October

Table 3: Information provided in the notifications for krill fisheries in 2010/11.

Member	Vessel	Expected level of krill catch (tonnes)	Months during which fishing has been notified												Subareas and/or divisions where fishing has been notified					
			2010	2011											Subarea				Division	
			Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	48.1	48.2	48.3	48.4	58.4.1	58.4.2
Chile	<i>Betanzos</i>	16 000	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		
China	<i>An Xing Hai</i>	5 000	X	x	x	x	x	x								x	x	x		
	<i>Kai Li</i>	5 000	X	x	x	x	x	x								x	x	x		
	<i>Kai Shun</i>	5 000	X	x	x	x	x	x								x	x	x		
	<i>Kai Xin</i>	10 000	X	x	x	x	x	x								x	x	x		
	<i>Lian Xing Hai</i>	10 000	X	x	x	x	x	x								x	x	x		
Japan	<i>Fukuei Maru</i>	30 000		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x	x	x			
Korea	<i>Dongsan Ho</i>	35 000			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		
	<i>Insung Ho</i>	12 000			x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x	x	x			
	<i>Kwang Ja Ho</i>	18 000			x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x	x	x			
Norway	<i>Juvel</i>	50 000	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			
	<i>Saga Sea</i>	65 000		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x	x	x	x		
	<i>Thorshøvdi</i>	60 000	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Poland	<i>Dalmor II</i>	9 000			x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x	x	x			
Russia	<i>Maksim Starostin</i>	80 000	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		
Total	15 vessels	410 000	9	11	15	15	15	15	10	10	10	5	5	5	15	15	15	4	1	1

Table 4: Scientific observations on krill fishing vessels in 2010/11 and 2011/12. X – vessel should carry observers for 100% of the days at sea (20% of hauls observed); * – vessel name to be advised.

Season	Period	Member:	China					Japan	
			Vessel:	C1*	C2*	C3*	C4*	C5*	<i>Fukuei Maru</i>
2010/11	Dec–May		X	X	X				
2010/11	Jun–Nov				No winter fishing			X	
2011/12	Dec–May				See text			X	
2011/12	Jun–Nov				See text				
Season	Period	Member:	Republic of Korea			Chile	Norway	Poland	Russia
			Vessel:	<i>Dongsan Ho</i>	<i>Insung Ho</i>	<i>Kwang Ja</i>	All vessels		
2010/11	Dec–May		X	X				100% coverage	
2010/11	Jun–Nov				X			100% coverage	
2011/12	Dec–May				X			100% coverage	
2011/12	Jun–Nov		X	X				100% coverage	

Table 5: Summary of information status for the exploratory and closed toothfish fisheries in relation to the information requirements of an exploratory fishery (CM 21-02, paragraph 1). Y – reviewed by WG-FSA/Scientific Committee; X – no review.

Information requirements	Subarea/division									
	48.6	58.4.1	58.4.2	58.4.3a	58.4.3b	58.4.4	Ross Sea (88.1, 882AB)	88.2 (882E)	88.2 (882CDG)	88.3
Toothfish fishery classification	Exploratory	Exploratory	Exploratory	Exploratory	Exploratory (zero catch limit)	Closed	Exploratory	Exploratory	Exploratory	Closed
Distribution and demography	Some	Some	Some	Y	Y	Some	Y	Y	Some	Some
Abundance and potential yield	X	Some	Some	Some	X	X	Y	Y	X	X
Potential impacts on dependent and related species	X	X	X	X	X	X	Y	X	X	X
Allow advice on appropriate harvest levels	X	Some	Some	Some	X	X	Y	Y	X	X

Table 6: Indicative tagging rate (rounded down to the nearest 5) of *Dissostichus* spp. to be tagged (based on an SSRU-specific mean mass of fish) that may assist vessels in achieving the required tagging rates in exploratory fisheries for *Dissostichus* spp. This could be implemented on the vessel by systematically selecting every *N*th for tagging, noting that if that fish is in poor condition, the next fish in good condition should be tagged instead. Thus, for example, in SSRU 486A every 20th fish should be tagged. Source: C2 data from 2007/08 to 2009/10.

SSRU	Mean mass of fish (kg)	Mean number fish per tonne	Required tagging rate (fish tagged per tonne*)	Indicative tagging rate per number of fish (<i>N</i>) brought to roller
486A	16	61	3	1 in 20
486B	no data		3	-
486C	no data		3	-
486D	44	23	3	1 in 5
486E	46	22	3	1 in 5
486F	no data		3	-
486G	25	40	3	1 in 10
5841C	33	30	3	1 in 10
5841E	33	30	3	1 in 10
5841G	37	27	3	1 in 5
5842A	44	23	3	1 in 5
5842E	26	39	3	1 in 10
5843aA	9	108	3	1 in 35
5843bA	24	42	4	1 in 10
5843bC	35	28	4	1 in 5
5843bD	34	30	4	1 in 5
5843bE	32	31	4	1 in 5
881B	28	35	1	1 in 35
881C	31	32	1	1 in 30
881G	no data		1	-
881H	24	42	1	1 in 40
881I	29	34	1	1 in 35
881J	14	71	1	1 in 70
881K	23	44	1	1 in 40
881L	13	80	1	1 in 80
882C	no data		1	-
882D	29	35	1	1 in 35
882E	35	29	1	1 in 25
882F	27	37	1	1 in 35
882G	9	112	1	1 in 110

* Tonne of green weight caught

Table 7: Indicative program of work for the Scientific Committee for the next three years. Where items of work will contribute towards completion of the Performance Review recommendations, this is indicated. The year in which issues will be addressed is indicated by an 'x' and the group which will be responsible for undertaking the work is indicated in the final column.

	PRP report	2011	2012	2013	Work by
Krill					
Feedback management	3.1.2.2, 3.1, 3.2.6	x	x	x	EMM/SAM
Recruitment variation, B_0		x	x	x	EMM
Fishing vessel survey methods		x	x	x	EMM
Catch monitoring, escape mortality, green weight	3.3.4.2, 3.3.4.3	x	x	x	EMM
Spatial distribution		x			EMM
CEMP review and STAPP	3.1.2.2, 3.1.2.3, 3.1.3.2.6, 3.1.3.2.7, 3.2.1.4	x	x		EMM
Fish					
Biennial assessments		x		x	FSA/SAM
Other assessments 48.4, 58.5.1		x	x	x	FSA
By-catch	3.1.3.2.1, 3.1.3.2.2		x	x	FSA
Data-poor fisheries	3.1.1.2, 3.1.1.3	x	x	x	FSA/SAM
Depleted/recovering stocks	3.1.1.1		x	x	FSA
Biology and ecology			x		FSA
Ecosystem interactions			x	x	FSA/EMM
MPA	2.4.3.1, 2.4.3.2				
MPA workshop		x			MPA
MPA proposals			x		EMM
Recommendations			x		EMM
Incidental mortality		x		x	
Observers					
Accreditation	3.3.4.1	x	x	x	Review panel correspondence (paragraph 7.12)
General advice on sampling	3.3.4.2				
VME					
Outstanding future work (Annex 8, paragraph 9.37)			x		FSA
Modelling				x	SAM
CM 22-06		x	x	x	EMM
Review and update of impact assessments		x	x	x	FSA
Method assessment for all bottom methods			x		FSA
Climate change	3.5.2.2			x	EMM

2011
 SAM coincident with EMM
 EMM 2 weeks
 FSA 2 weeks
 IMAF 1 week
 MPA 1 week

Table 8: Scientific Committee budget for 2011 and forecast budget for 2012.

2010 Budget A\$		Item	2011 Budget A\$	2012 Forecast A\$
Draft	Revised	WG-SAM		
6 400	20 000	Secretariat support and participation costs	27 000	27 800
21 700	22 000	Report completion and translation	22 700	23 400
<u>28 100</u>	<u>42 000</u>		49 700	51 200
		WG-EMM <i>Costs dependent on location of meeting</i>		
88 600	28 000	Secretariat support and participation costs	32 000	33 300
43 300	35 000	Report completion and translation	36 200	37 300
<u>131 900</u>	<u>63 000</u>		68 200	70 600
		WG-FSA		
6 000	0	Computing facilities	0	0
21 000	0	Secretariat support	0	0
62 200	62 200	Report completion and translation	64 100	66 000
<u>89 200</u>	<u>62 200</u>		64 100	66 000
		WG-IMAF <i>held in conjunction with WG-FSA</i>		
0	0	Secretariat support	0	0
<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	Report completion and translation	15 500	0
			15 500	0
		SG-ASAM		
6 200	21 500	Secretariat support and participation costs	0	22 700
8 600	15 000	Report completion and translation	0	16 000
<u>14 800</u>	<u>36 500</u>		0	38 700
		Ad hoc TASO		
12 500		Secretariat support and participation costs		
27 500	15 000	Report completion and translation		
<u>40 000</u>	<u>15 000</u>			
		Other expenses for Scientific Committee program		
32 500	0	External experts invited to meetings	34 000	35 000
6 000	6 000	Education and outreach materials	6 000	6 200
0	0	International Fisheries Observer Conference	10 000	0
<u>5 000</u>	<u>0</u>	Contingency	<u>5 000</u>	<u>5 000</u>
347 500	224 700		252 500	272 700

Special Funds

2010 Budget A\$	Item	2011 Budget A\$	2012 Forecast A\$
	Workshop on MPAs*		
	Secretariat support and participation costs	22 500	
	Report completion and translation #	15 500	
	Invited experts	25 000	
		<u>63 000</u>	

* These are indicative based on a meeting in France supported by two Secretariat staff.

The timing of the meeting means that outsourcing of translation may be required.