

**FISHERY REPORT: *DISSOSTICHUS ELEGINOIDES*  
KERGUELEN ISLANDS (DIVISION 58.5.1)**

## CONTENTS

	Page
1. Details of the fishery .....	1
1.1 Reported catch .....	1
1.2 IUU catch .....	2
1.3 Size distribution of catches .....	2
2. Stocks and areas .....	4
3. Parameter estimations .....	4
3.1 Summary of the longline fishery .....	4
3.2 Biological parameters .....	6
4. Stock assessment .....	6
4.1 Research requirements .....	6
5. By-catch .....	7
5.1 By-catch removals .....	7
5.2 Assessments of impact on affected populations .....	7
5.3 Mitigation measures .....	7
6. By-catch of birds and mammals .....	8
6.1 Mitigation measures .....	8
7. Harvest controls and management advice .....	9
7.1 Conservation measures .....	9
7.2 Management advice .....	9
References .....	10

## FISHERY REPORT: *DISSOSTICHUS ELEGINOIDES* KERGUELEN ISLANDS (DIVISION 58.5.1)

### 1. Details of the fishery

The fishery for *Dissostichus eleginoides* operated in the French EEZ around the Kerguelen Islands in Division 58.5.1 (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Map of Division 58.5.1 showing the location of the French EEZ, and the adjacent Australian EEZ in Division 58.5.2.

#### 1.1 Reported catch

2. The catch limit of *Dissostichus eleginoides* set by France in its EEZ in Division 58.5.1 for the 2006/07 season (defined by France: 1 September 2006 to 31 August 2007) was 5 000 tonnes, and was allocated to longliners. The season's catch reported for this division up to August 2007 was 3 438 tonnes. Reported historical catches from 1988 in the fishery are shown in Table 1. The fishery began in 1984/85 as a trawl fishery targeting *D. eleginoides*, however trawling targeting other species between 1979 and 1984 caught small amounts of toothfish as by-catch. Trawling continued to the 2000/01 season; a longline fishery began in 1991/92 and continues to the present. The fishery has been active throughout the year except from 15 February to 15 March 2007 and during February in recent seasons.

Table 1: Catch history for *Dissostichus eleginoides* in the French EEZ in Division 58.5.1 (source: STATLANT data for past seasons, fine-scale data for current season, WG-FSA-07/10 Rev. 5 and past reports for IUU catch for the whole division).

Season	Reported catch (tonnes)			Estimated IUU catch (tonnes)	Total extraction (tonnes)
	Longline	Trawl	Total		
1987/88	0	892	892	0	892
1988/89	0	1 311	1 311	0	1 311
1999/90	0	1 243	1 243	0	1 243
1990/91	26	2 982	3 008	0	3 008
1991/92	679	7 079	7 758	0	7 758
1992/93	243	3 354	3 597	0	3 597
1993/94	749	4 632	5 381	0	5 381
1994/95	1 467	4 129	5 596	0	5 596
1995/96	1 233	3 478	4 710	833	5 543
1996/97	1 048	4 012	5 059	6 094	11 153
1997/98	1 747	2 967	4 714	7 156	11 870
1998/99	2 062	2 669	4 730	1 237	5 967
1999/00	3 046	3 093	6 139	2 600	8 739
2000/01	2 593	2 153	4 747	4 550	9 297
2001/02	3 976	178	4 154	6 300	10 454
2002/03	5 291	0	5 291	5 518	10 809
2003/04	5 171	0	5 171	536	5 707
2004/05	5 073	0	5 073	268	5 341
2005/06	4 911	254	5 156	211	5 367
2006/07*	3 184		3 438	404	3 842
Total	42 499	44 671	87 168	35 707	122 875

\* STATLANT data for 2006/07 are incomplete

## 1.2 IUU catch

3. Details of the IUU catches attributed to Division 58.5.1 are given in Table 1. IUU fishing was first detected in 1996 and in some years IUU catches have exceeded legal catches, resulting in a high level of total removals (>10 000 tonnes per season). There has been a sharp decline in IUU fishing since 2002/03 as a result of increased surveillance within the EEZ.

## 1.3 Size distribution of catches

4. In 2007, France resubmitted corrected catch, effort and length data to CCAMLR, using the CCAMLR formats. The catch-weighted length frequencies are illustrated in Figures 2 (trawl) and 3 (longline). The Working Group thanked Prof. G. Duhamel and Mr N. Gasco (France) for preparing the data in CCAMLR format and resubmitting these data.

5. Data from the trawl fishery cover the period from 1990/91 to 1997/98 (Figure 2). Most *D. eleginoides* caught by trawl range from 40 to 120 cm in length, with a mode at approximately 60 to 70 cm. A smaller mode at approximately 40–50 cm is evident in 1994/95.

6. Data from the longline fishery cover the period 1995/96 to the current season (Figure 3). Most *D. eleginoides* caught by longline range from 40 to 120 cm in length, with a mode at approximately 80–100 cm at the beginning of the series, and 60–80 cm in recent seasons.




Figure 2: Catch-weighted length frequencies for *Dissostichus eleginoides* caught by trawl in the French EEZ in Division 58.5.1 (source: fine-scale and STATLANT data, and the length–weight relationship was taken from observations on *D. eleginoides* in Division 58.5.2).




Figure 3: Catch-weighted length frequencies for *Dissostichus eleginoides* caught by longline in the French EEZ in Division 58.5.1 (source: fine-scale and STATLANT data, and the length–weight relationship was taken from observations on *D. eleginoides* in Division 58.5.2).

## 2. Stocks and areas

7. *Dissostichus eleginoides* occurs throughout the Kerguelen Islands Shelf, from shallow waters (<10 m) to at least 2 000 m depth. As fish grow, they move to deeper waters, and are recruited to the trawl fishery on the slopes of the shelf and subsequently to the longline fishery in deeper waters. A general east–west deep-sea movement of adult fish occurs and spawning is restricted to the westerly zone early in winter each year (WG-FSA-05/27). Tagging experiments at Heard Island (Division 58.5.2) (Williams et al., 2002; WG-FSA-07/48 Rev. 1) show long-distance movements of sub-adult/adult fish between zones (Heard to Kerguelen and also Crozet) but the proportion of exchange between stocks is unknown. During the survey, 639 fish were tagged and 2 247 fish were tagged from the longline fishery. During the 2006/07 season, 11 tagged fish were caught on longlines.

## 3. Parameter estimations

### 3.1 Summary of the longline fishery

8. WG-FSA summarised the C2 data for Division 58.5.1 during its 2007 meeting. Table 2 provides a summary of the reported catches by year and nationality for longline vessels. The average (unstandardised) catch per hook has decreased from 0.36 kg/hook in 1997 to 0.20 kg/hook in 2007. Table 3 summarises the effort by month and year from the longline fishery from 1994/95 to 2006/07.

Table 2: Longline fishery: number of records extracted (sets), catch (tonnes) by nation, number of vessels, mean catch per set, mean catch per hook and mean depth fished (source: C2 data).

Season	Sets	Catch (tonnes)			No. of vessels	Catch/set (tonnes/set)	Catch/hook (kg/hook)	Mean depth (m)
		France	Ukraine	Total				
1994/95	388	-	302.2	302.2	2	0.8	0.03	521
1995/96	1 221	-	811.9	811.9	2	0.7	0.06	485
1996/97	719	-	628.4	628.4	3	0.9	0.36	487
1997/98	1 177	120.6	808.1	928.7	3	0.8	0.31	558
1998/99	622	512.9	327.3	840.2	3	1.4	0.26	900
1999/00	769	2 991.7	-	2 991.7	5	3.9	0.37	1 096
2000/01	868	2 589.1	-	2 589.1	5	3.0	0.33	1 029
2001/02	1 726	4 097.5	-	4 097.5	9	2.4	0.27	908
2002/03	3 105	5 301.9	-	5 301.9	7	1.7	0.19	1 049
2003/04	3 087	5 165.5	-	5 165.5	8	1.7	0.18	1 084
2004/05	3 086	4 892.3	-	4 892.3	7	1.6	0.19	1 045
2005/06	2 697	4 835.6	-	4 835.6	7	1.8	0.19	1 207
2006/07	1 792	3 184.1	-	3 184.1	7	1.8	0.20	1 268
Total	21 257	33 691.0	2 877.8	36 568.8	16			

Table 3: Number of sets by month and year in the longline fishery.

Season	Month												Total
	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	
1994/95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	117	271	388
1995/96	284	357	326	93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	161	1 221
1996/97	126	54	108	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	104	273	719
1997/98	322	301	309	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	124	1 177
1998/99	117	62	98	171	94	0	0	0	0	2	47	31	622
1999/00	53	70	69	39	68	83	78	8	0	0	132	169	769
2000/01	24	43	97	90	44	45	52	10	0	37	222	204	868
2001/02	73	183	94	67	192	193	91	70	0	250	370	143	1 726
2002/03	199	268	265	198	291	275	417	164	193	217	391	227	3 105
2003/04	296	345	0	304	285	300	294	150	37	290	477	309	3 087
2004/05	265	371	0	429	257	302	254	64	0	367	517	260	3 086
2005/06	160	351	3	401	184	269	231	37	0	264	513	284	2 697
2006/07	146	419	186	130	337	296	249	29	0	0	0	0	1 792
Total	2 065	2 824	1 555	2 058	1 752	1 763	1 666	532	230	1 427	2 929	2 456	21 257

9. Depredation has an impact on the catch landed from each line. Depredation was assumed to not have been present before 2001, to have increased linearly to 2003, and to have been constant thereafter. Roche et al. (2007) estimated that the depredation over 2002/03 and 2003/04 was 348 tonnes for a landed catch of 10 900 tonnes. This implies a depredation rate of 3%.

10. The C2 data were used to estimate standardised CPUE indices for the longline fishery from 1999 to 2007. In addition, standardised CPUE indices, assuming depredation, were also estimated by adjusting the C2 catches by a factor of 1 for the years before 2001, 1.031 for the years 2003–2007, and a linear interpolation between 1 and 1.031 for the years 2001 and 2002. Estimated CPUE indices assuming depredation (adjusted) and without depredation (unadjusted) are given in Table 4 and Figure 4. In general, CPUE indices declined between 1999 and 2003, and have remained relatively stable since. The inclusion of depredation had a minimal impact on the trend in the CPUE indices.

Table 4: CPUE indices unadjusted and adjusted for depredation.

Season	Unadjusted			Adjusted		
	Index	95% CIs	CV	Index	95% CIs	CV
1999/00	2.36	(1.79–3.11)	0.14	2.31	(1.75–3.04)	0.14
2000/01	1.56	(1.45–1.67)	0.03	1.52	(1.42–1.63)	0.03
2001/02	1.28	(1.20–1.36)	0.03	1.26	(1.19–1.34)	0.03
2002/03	0.96	(0.92–1.01)	0.03	0.96	(0.92–1.01)	0.03
2003/04	0.75	(0.71–0.78)	0.02	0.76	(0.72–0.79)	0.02
2004/05	0.72	(0.69–0.75)	0.02	0.73	(0.70–0.76)	0.02
2005/06	0.70	(0.67–0.73)	0.02	0.71	(0.67–0.74)	0.02
2006/07	0.79	(0.75–0.82)	0.02	0.80	(0.76–0.83)	0.02
1999/00	0.75	(0.71–0.78)	0.03	0.75	(0.72–0.79)	0.03




Figure 4: Estimated relative CPUE indices assuming no depredation (unadjusted) and depredation (adjusted).

### 3.2 Biological parameters

11. No biological parameters (except size-at-first-maturity, see WG-FSA-05/27) are available for Division 58.5.1. It is likely that the parameters used in the stock assessment for Heard Island will be valid for the Kerguelen stock (growth curve, natural mortality).

## 4. Stock assessment

12. No formal stock assessment has been carried out for Division 58.5.1.

13. France conducted a random stratified bottom trawl survey between 100 and 1 000 m in Division 58.5.1 (the northern part of the Kerguelen Plateau) in September–October 2006 (WG-FSA-07/16). The total biomass was approximately 245 000 tonnes with about half (124 000 tonnes) being *D. eleginoides*. It was noted that four of the species (*D. eleginoides*, *Macrourus carinatus*, *Bathyraja eatonii* and *B. irrasa*) extend deeper than 1 000 m, the limit of the 2006 survey. Some shelf and slope species (*Champscephalus gunnari* and *Notothenia rossii*) exhibit low levels of biomass when compared to previous survey results (1987/88 survey). Other species (*Channichthys rhinoceratus* and *Lepidonotothen squamifrons*) seem to have increased, even doubled, their biomass during the period between the two surveys. Besides the commercial species, two non-commercial fish species were also abundant: *Zanclorhynchus spinifer* on the slope and *Alepocephalus* cf. *antipodianus* in the deep sea. The geographical and bathymetrical distributions of the species indicate that they occur in very stable concentrations which are found in the same localised areas during both surveys (POKER 2006 and SKALP 1987/88). During the 2006 survey, 639 fish were tagged.

### 4.1 Research requirements

14. The Working Group encouraged the estimation of biological parameters for the Kerguelen Islands area. The Working Group encouraged the development of a stock assessment for this area, and also encouraged cooperative work in the intersessional period between France and Australia on analyses of catch and effort data and other data that could



be used to progress understanding of fish stocks and fishery dynamics for Divisions 58.5.1 and 58.5.2. The Working Group encouraged France to continue its tagging program in Division 58.5.1.

15. The Working Group noted the results from the POKER survey in 2006 presented in WG-FSA-07/16, including estimates of biomass, distribution and length frequencies for toothfish and important by-catch species such as *L. squamifrons*, *M. carinatus*, *B. eatonii* and *B. irrasa*. The Working Group encouraged France to use these data and previously published biological parameters to develop assessments for these species.

## 5. By-catch

### 5.1 By-catch removals

16. By-catch removals from the fishery for *D. eleginoides* are detailed in Table 5. In order of importance, macrourids (*M. carinatus*), rajids (*B. eatonii* and *B. irrasa*) and morids (*Antimora rostrata*) form the bulk of the by-catch. Only the latter species is fully discarded, the others are partly or totally processed. Local geographic distributions differ from one species to another.

Table 5: Catch history for by-catch species (macrourids, rajids and *Antimora rostrata*) taken in the fishery for *Dissostichus eleginoides* in the French EEZ in Division 58.5.1 (source: fine-scale data).

Season	Macrourids			Rajids			<i>Antimora rostrata</i>		
	Reported catch (tonnes)			Reported catch (tonnes)			Reported catch (tonnes)		
	Longline	Trawl	Total	Longline	Trawl	Total	Longline	Trawl	Total
1997/98	12	0	12	12	7	19	0	0	0
1998/99	37	0	37	42	6	48	1	0	1
1999/00	162	2	164	120	26	146	1	0	1
2000/01	97	0	97	116	261	377	0	0	0
2001/02	452	0	452	537	0	537	1	0	1
2002/03	783	0	783	932	0	932	10	0	10
2003/04	945	0	945	1160	0	1160	12	0	12
2004/05	738	0	738	1010	0	1010	50	0	50
2005/06	667	0	667	649	0	649	61	0	61
2006/07	476	0	476	379	0	379	26	0	26

### 5.2 Assessments of impact on affected populations

17. No stock assessments of individual by-catch species were undertaken.

### 5.3 Mitigation measures

18. The Working Group recommended that, where possible, areas with high by-catch rates should be avoided.

## 6. By-catch of birds and mammals

19. Seabird mortality of white-chinned (*Procellaria aequinoctialis*), grey (*P. cinerea*), northern giant (*Macronectes halli*) and southern giant (*Macronectes giganteus*) petrels was reported this year (SC-CAMLR-XXVI, Annex 6, Part II, Table 7).

20. Details of seabird by-catch in 2006/07 are reported in SC-CAMLR-XXVI, Annex 6, Part II, paragraphs 14 and 15 and Tables 3 to 7. Details for 2001/02, 2002/03 and 2003/04 are reported in SC-CAMLR-XXIII, Annex 5, paragraphs 7.16 to 7.34. Details for 2000/01 and 2004/05 are reported in SC-CAMLR-XXIV, Annex 5, paragraphs 7.5 to 7.13. Details of seabird by-catch in 2005/06 are reported in SC-CAMLR-XXV, Annex 5, Appendix D, paragraphs 14 to 16 and Tables 4 to 8.

Table 6: Total extrapolated incidental mortality of seabirds and observed mortality rates (birds/thousand hooks) in longline fisheries in the French EEZ at Kerguelen (Division 58.5.1). Data for 1998/99, 1999/2000, and for the period 2001/02 to 2003/04 are from SC-CAMLR-XXIII, Annex 5, Table 7.11. Data for 2000/01 and 2004/05 are from SC-CAMLR-XXIV, Annex 5, Tables 5 to 7, and data for 2005/06 are from SC-CAMLR-XXV, Annex 5, Appendix D, Tables 4 and 5. Data for 2006/07 are reported in SC-CAMLR-XXVI, Annex 6, Part II, paragraphs 14 and 15 and Tables 3 to 7.

	CCAMLR season								
	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Extrapolated mortality	4 967*	1 897*	1 917*	10 814*	13 926*	3485 2069*	4 387	2352	1944
Mortality rate	2.95*	0.308*	0.092*	0.936*	0.518*	1416 <sup>†</sup> 0.128* <sup>†</sup> 0.123 <sup>†</sup>	0.161	0.092	0.080

\* Reported by captains

† Corrected data

21. No marine mammals have been reported as by-catch in Division 58.5.1.

### 6.1 Mitigation measures

22. Details of mitigation measures applied in 2005/06 are reported in SC-CAMLR-XXV, Annex 5, Appendix D, paragraph 14. Details of mitigation measures implemented in 2004 are reported in SC-CAMLR-XXIII, Annex 5, paragraphs 7.35 to 7.45. Current measures include:

- (i) line-weighting regimes as specified in Conservation Measure 25-02 are now applicable to French autoliners;
- (ii) at least two streamer lines meeting the CCAMLR specifications are compulsory. Some vessels use up to seven streamer lines;
- (iii) in 2006/07 all vessels had observers on board who observed 25.3% of hooks set. This level of observer effort will be continued in 2007/08;

- (iv) continued closure of Division 58.5.1, classified as a high-risk area, during the main seabird breeding season, from mid-February to mid-March;
- (v) the discarding of hooks and the use of black lines are prohibited.

## 7. Harvest controls and management advice

### 7.1 Conservation measures

23. Various national conservation and fisheries enforcement measures are in force in addition to those agreed by CCAMLR. The national measures include:

- annual fishing season closure (February)
- annual catch limit and limitation of number of longliners (seven)
- compulsory logbooks
- allocation of fishing effort (not more than one longliner per 0.5° latitude x 1° longitude rectangle)
- one French observer on board each licensed vessel
- minimum fishing depth limit (500 m)
- minimum legal size for toothfish (60 cm)
- mitigation measures for the reduction of bird mortality
- landings occur at one place (Réunion Island)
- skates to be cut off if not processed (started December 2006)
- port inspection.

### 7.2 Management advice

24. The Working Group encouraged the estimation of biological parameters for Kerguelen. The Working Group encouraged the development of a stock assessment for this area, and also encouraged cooperative work in the intersessional period between France and Australia on analysis of catch and effort data and other data that could be used to progress understanding of fish stock and fishery dynamics for Divisions 58.5.1 and 58.5.2 and Subarea 58.6. The Working Group encouraged France to continue its tagging program in Division 58.5.1.

25. The Working Group recommended that avoidance of fishing in zones of specific high rates of abundance in by-catch should also be considered.

26. No new information was available on the state of fish stocks in Division 58.5.1 outside areas of national jurisdiction. The Working Group therefore recommended that the prohibition of directed fishing for *D. eleginoides*, described in Conservation Measure 32-13, remain in force.

27. The Working Group noted that France had made significant progress in mitigating by-catch, including area/season closures (SC-CAMLR-XXVI, Annex 6, paragraph II.23). It noted that the CPUE analysis would probably be robust to these changes so long as detailed haul-by-haul data continued to be available.

## References

- Roche, C., C. Guinet, N. Gasco and G. Duhamel. 2007. Marine mammals and demersal longline fishery interactions in Crozet and Kerguelen Exclusive Economic Zones: an assessment of depredation levels. *CCAMLR Science*, 14: 67–82.
- Williams, R., G.N. Tuck, A.J. Constable and T. Lamb. 2002. Movement, growth and available abundance to the fishery of *Dissostichus eleginoides* Smitt, 1898 at Heard Island, derived from tagging experiments. *CCAMLR Science*, 9: 33–48.